

The Minutes of the Public Hearing of the Rural Municipality of Leask No. 464 held in the Legion Hall in Leask on Saturday, July 13, 2019.

The meeting was called to order by Reeve Cantin at 10:00 a.m.

Attendance: From the RM of Leask
Len Cantin - Reeve
Grant Thiel - Division 1
Gordon Stieb - Division 3
Ed Musich - Division 4
Robert Girod - Division 5
Clarke Gossen - Division 6
Joan Sanftleben – Development Officer
From Public:
Julie Darling
Gary Gini
Rene Laberge
Theresa Laberge
Maurice Kasun
Angie Kasun
Bill Anderson
Brenda Anderson
Ronn Janzen
Karen Janzen
Candise Ashby
Brent Duncan

Introduction of Council and Development Officer.

Reeve described the purpose of the Hearing to provide a forum for the public to present comments they may have in regard to the proposed Official Community Plan and Zoning Bylaw. He further clarified the procedures of the hearing.

Written submissions were to be heard first followed by any verbal presentations which would be allowed 5 minutes for presentation.

One written submission was received and read to those present by the Development Officer.

From Secretary of the Shell Lake Metis Nation Local 81; no concerns or feedback.

Presentations:

10:08 a.m. Gary Gini

Stated background. Acknowledge the work by council on the OCP & Zoning Bylaw.

Advised will also be submitting a written statement.

Spoke to the tone of the proposal and that it is not possible to foresee every issue possible. Tone of restriction – non permissive approach – recommend more opportunity for growth and development. Question the Code of Ethics for Council. Spoke to fair and impartial development and questioned that there was no right of appeal for discretionary uses. Stated Code of Ethics

should be in place on or before the adoption of the OCP and Zoning Bylaw. Comment that in OCP 2.2.4 why limited to attract resource and recreational development only. Concerned with the number of discretionary uses versus permitted.

Finished at 10:13 a.m.

General discussion by those present as to why limited to only 5 minutes when only 3 parties planning to present and all felt they needed closer to 15 minutes.

Reeve and Council agreed to extend presentation time to 15 minutes.

10:16 Gary Gini

The OCP and Bylaw should not be restrictive. Should deal with Health, Safety and Sustainability. Wanted clarity of appeal process to be included. Speak to diversification open to Industry etc. OCP preferences large agriculture over small farms. A diversified farm can operate on a single acre. Construction under CSA and approved Professional. Shipping Containers restricted to storage only should be allowed for residential development as long as it meets building code.

Concerns over minimum foot print. Energy systems limited to 1 turbine only; not proposing a wind farm but more latitude than restricting to one. Preference to have multiple smaller turbines when one goes down not without power. Requirement for a chain link fence too defining should not be the how just address safety. Systems under a certain kilowatt should not require engineering. Council should get out of the way.

Finished 10:26 a.m..

10:30 a.m. Candise Ashby

OCP page 12, buffer strips for cattle raising. Pressure on lakes by cattle is worse than people, need to get the cattle back from the water. Previous OCP stated maximum number of camping sites at specific locations wants this put in the new proposed OCP. Wants park model trailers allowed at campsites. Concerns around appeal process, council members change. Wants an appeal process for Zoning changes and Discretionary Development. Pressure on lake however for the 34 private cabins the Bylaw allows a trailer on each site. Strange that cutting off development at their lake but every cabin can have a trailer, wants more specifics around tents etc. 30 consecutive days 34 cabins can have 34 trailers more pressure on lake, address time frame and monitor, 30 days is too long. Agriculture is allowed extra residence for employees campground only allowed one wants an additional residence. Bed Breakfast allowed in Country Residential and Agriculture more pressure on lake conflicts with no further pressure. Question Zoning Bylaw 3.12.1 registry at land titles re legal non conforming. Development Officer explained what this referenced Ashby thought it was saying they had to register each camp site at ISC, not the case. Resort Commercial why do they need a permit for a shed and other Zones do not. Question how many campgrounds in the RM. Development Officer advised four. Zoning Bylaw section 3.18.3 outhouses prohibited. One at Nemish Point. Recommend outhouse allowed as long as on septic and is pumped out.

Finished 10:45 a.m.

10:47 a.m. Brent Duncan

Stated was involved with the originals now being amended. OCP page 10 Public works 2 & 3 , adequate water and sewer. Requirements, would a 1200 gallon storage tank be acceptable. Clarification provided yes holding tank is considered adequate. Future development rationale for

no further development to be in OCP. Previous no further development at D'Amour and then there was. Reeve responded that was not correct. Map clarification questions around development constraints and also potentially heritage sensitive. Recreation vehicles restricted to one, question this concerning fall hired hands on Agriculture land and also family reunions. Questioned a demo permit being required for everything example shed. Questioned why allowing a Garden Suite in Country Residential and not Country Residential Lake Shore. Usually only used for elderly. Questioned the need to sound proof kennels what about open pens. Agriculture questioned why pole sheds were not included under permitted. Clarification they are as accessory use. Finished 10:62 a.m.

Duncan further advised council should not sit on development appeal boards.
Reeve advised we use Gord Krismer and Associates Ltd. as our Development Appeal Board.

Reeve – closing remarks thanked everyone for coming and presenting their views. Once again clarified the process that council will review everything provided including written submissions which should be to council as soon as possible and hopefully by Aug 14,2019. Explained 2nd and 3rd reading would be conducted at public meeting of council.

Maurice Kasun, advised he only found out about the hearing in the Reeve's letter that went out with tax notice. Explained our advertising process. The general opinion was people would like to see better advertisement such as a face book page or email and an improved web site.

Hearing adjourned a 11:15 a.m.

REEVE – Len Cantin

DO – Joan Sanftleben